

SANTA BARBARA CITY COLLEGE

COLLEGE PLANNING COUNCIL

June 23, 1987

**M I N U T E S**

PRESENT: P. Freeman, acting Chair, E. Cohen (for J. Romo), J. Diaz, E. Hodes, L. Fairly, T. Garey, C. Hanson, S. Laub (for M. Bobgan), D. Oroz, B. Trotter.

ABSENT: M. Bobgan, J. Romo

GUESTS: P. MacDougall, J. Friedlander, L. Friesen, M. Mallen, B. Miller

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: June 2, 1987 and June 9, 1987

M/S/C/ Garey/Hodes

To approve the minutes of June 2 and June 9, 1987

AYES: 7                      NOES: 0                      ABST: 1

**1987-88 TENTATIVE BUDGET: EXPLANATIONS OF MODIFICATIONS TO THE PRELIMINARY BUDGET**

Dr. Hanson recalled to the Council its request at the meeting of June 9 for an explanation of several expenditures which were included in the Handout listing Changes to the 1987-88 Preliminary Budget for the Tentative Budget. He stated that \$15,698 was added to the ESLP cost center to cover costs of managing a program that generates considerable revenue in non-resident fees. The balance of the \$19,565 amount is the result of a series of internal transfers in Academic Affairs and Student Services, plus adjustments in EOPS and Cal-Soap. Dr. Hanson pointed out that internal transfers within the major college units is permitted at this stage of budget development as long as the units stay within their allocated budgets. Dr. MacDougall explained that the major portion of the revenue resulting from the COLA increase to 2.7 (\$226,565) was allocated to necessary or unexpected expenses, i.e., college van (safety), increased costs in utilities, certificated and classified increments, and worker's compensation.

**BUDGET UPDATE**

Dr. MacDougall reported that the fiscal status of the College is much better than many community colleges in California. Although he is encouraged by the changes in the Governor's attitude toward community colleges as evident in recent comments, the fact remains that the college will receive only a 3.4 adjusted COLA for the 1987-88 year with which to subsidize the general fund program; the remaining 3.7 COLA is restricted to categorical programs, i.e., Matriculation, capital expenditures and deferred maintenance. Dr. MacDougall pointed out that unlike the UC or CSU systems, community colleges do not receive a separate adjustment for salaries, and must rely on the COLA.

Dr. MacDougall reported that he is recommending to the Board of Trustees at the June 25 meeting the transfer of \$50,000 from the General Fund (Parking Lot Budget) to the Parking Facilities Fund (formerly the Building Fund). The President presented to the Council his recommendation to transfer \$400,000 into this reserve fund for future parking projects. The money would come from 86-87 ending balances (approximately \$225,000) and from Other Unappropriated Contingencies (\$175,000). The President explained the basic considerations in making the request:

1. The Long Range Development Plan in which the College is committed to review the current parking problems, take mitigating actions and plan appropriately for future expansion.
2. The costs of implementing parking facilities' studies, construction, maintenance and repairs.

The President reported that a recent study concluded that approximately 300-400 additional parking spaces are needed to resolve present parking problems and to provide spaces resulting from the expansion of facilities on the West Campus. The estimated cost is between \$5,000 and \$13,000-14,000 per space. Present plans call for the construction of a two-tiered parking structure on the West Campus, the cost of which is estimated at between 1.5 and 2 million dollar. In addition to preliminary planning costs and construction costs, there are the on-going expenses for maintenance and repair, all of which require a substantial commitment of dollars. Dr. MacDougall noted that the State does not provide funds, including lottery dollars, for parking facilities.

During the discussion which followed Dr. MacDougall's request, members questioned the appropriateness of allocating or transferring large amounts of money at this time. Their main concerns were twofold: (1) Transfers during the summer months, when most of the faculty are absent from the campus, do not receive the same kind of broad review; and (2) In the salary negotiations in progress between faculty and the administration, the "Other Appropriations for Contingencies" Fund is involved, as are other college budget items. Dr. MacDougall responded that although he is sensitive to the issues referred to by the Council, he is concerned that West Campus construction projects could be delayed unless the College demonstrates that all appropriate measures are being taken to mitigate the parking problems referred to in the Long Range Development Plan.

M/S Hanson/Diaz

That the College Planning Council endorse the transfer of \$400,000 (\$225,000 from 1986-87 ending balances and \$175,000 from Other Appropriations for Contingencies) to the Parking Facilities Fund for future costs of parking facilities.

M/S Garey/Hodes

Amendment: That the College Planning Council endorses the proposal contained in the motion at the conclusion of negotiations.

(Amendment withdrawn)

M/S/C      Garey/Hodes

To table to original motion.....

AYES:    6            NOES:    2            ABST.    1

In an attempt to compromise, the following motion was presented:

M/S/C      Hodes/Garey

Whereas the College Planning Council finds the arguments of the Administration for transferring these funds strong and compelling; and whereas the same body supports the concept of the college Parking Facilities Fund; nonetheless, in view of the untimeliness of the request and of its potential impact on faculty morale, and considering that there would be no loss of these monies by postponement of the transfer of funds, the CPC recommends no action at this time.

AYES:    6            NOES:    2            ABST.:    1

The meeting was adjourned at 4:48 p.m.

jdm

cc: Cabinet  
Vice Presidents  
Division/Department Chairs  
Rep Council  
CSEA Rep  
Mr. B. Miller