

Santa Barbara City College

CLUSTER LEADER COUNCIL

COLLEGE PLANNING COMMITTEE

December 9, 1981

MINUTES

MEMBERS PRESENT: Martin Bobgan, Robert Christensen,  
Harold Fairly, Pope Freeman,  
Jack Halloran, Charles Hanson,  
Pat Huglin (Chairman), John Kay,  
Charlotte Ley, Lynda Rodrigues,  
Raymond Rosales, Lana Rose,  
Maury Ryan, Klaus Wills

MEMBERS ABSENT: Jinny Webber

RESOURCE MEMBERS  
PRESENT: Mel Elkins, Burt Miller, Dan Oroz,  
John Romo, Dick Sanchez

GUESTS: Robert Dependahl, Ralph Schiferl

The Chairman called the meeting to order at 2:35 p.m.

I. STAFFING NEEDS FOR 1982-83 SCHOOL YEAR

Because of the uncertain fiscal situation, the Chairman recommended delaying consideration of staffing needs (requests for replacements of temporary positions, anticipated replacements of those retiring, and additional staffing requests) until January, 1982.

II. ENROLLMENT/WSCH UPDATE

Mrs. Rodrigues reported on the findings of the 11th week census; she noted that the enrollment/WSCH information did not include out-of-state and foreign students.

Generally, between the 4th and 11th week censuses, 7 to 15% of students (still attending) drop classes; the Fall, 1981 figure is 18.9%. In addition, between the 4th and 11th week censuses, 8 to 9% of students drop out of school entirely; the Fall, 1981 figure is 10.7%. Mrs. Rodrigues noted the conversion of 139 non-credit courses to credit.

She stated that if the same trend continues, the college should achieve a projected amount of 8,645 ADA for Spring, 1982. (Mr. Hanson had stated at the October 14, 1981 meeting that the ADA base figure for 1981-82 was 8,564, and he estimated a projected 1981-82 ADA figure of 8,804.)

Mr. Christensen noted that the newly implemented withdrawal policy is probably affecting the enrollment/WSCH data and suggested a comparison be made of this year's information and that of previous years.

OVER .....

MINUTES, continued

III. FISCAL UPDATE

Mr. Hanson stated that while the picture of state funding is still bleak, an improved mechanism has been devised for assessing 1981-82 funding; it will be presented to the College Council on December 21, 1981. He informed the Committee that the final word about state funding will be known in March, 1982. He noted the necessity to maintain the ADA level at the projected figure of 8,645. He concurred with the Chairman's recommendation to hold in abeyance all staffing requests.

Mr. Hanson stated that funding for 1982-83 may be decreased even more; he also spoke on the possibility of charging student fees.

Mr. Hanson informed the Committee that a recommendation will be presented to the College Council on December 21, 1981 pertaining to proceeding with equipment repair and replacement. The Chairman noted that \$200,000 has been budgeted for new equipment and that \$25,000 has been budgeted for emergency equipment replacements and repairs.

IV. NOT-FOR-CREDIT/CREDIT CONVERSION UPDATE

Mr. Bobgan stated that 135 converted to credit courses will be offered during Continuing Education's winter term.

He noted that it would not be in the best interest of the college to transfer additional non-credit courses to credit. He stated that plans to convert non-credit technical/vocational courses to credit have been disbanded.

The Chairman mentioned that a workshop pertaining to problems concerning non-credit to credit conversion will be forthcoming.

V. AD HOC COMMITTEE TO REVIEW EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

The Chairman noted that the endeavors of this particular committee have been worthwhile, and that the committee has been very impressed with the various activities of all departments. The responses submitted by various departments to the ten (10) questions posed will be printed for college-wide distribution at the conclusion of departmental presentations next spring.

VI. AD HOC COMMITTEE TO REVIEW INSTRUCTIONAL ORGANIZATION

The Chairman commented that this particular committee reports to the Academic Senate and will be making a recommendation to the Representative Council shortly. Currently the committee is discussing a body (similar to CLC/CPC) which would be called the "Division Council;" the "Division Council" would absorb some department chairperson functions along with Curriculum Committee responsibilities.

VII. STUDENT SERVICES REORGANIZATION

Mrs. Rodrigues mentioned that she had met with the Representative Council Monday (December 7, 1981) concerning a Student Services reorganization proposal. She also met with the Board of Trustees' subcommittee on December 9, 1981 concerning two reorganization proposals. A decision regarding the

MINUTES, continued

reorganization of Student Services will be made in January, 1982 and hopefully implemented during Spring, 1982.

VIII. EDUCATIONAL DATA PROCESSING ... IMMEDIATE AND LONG-RANGE NEEDS

Mr. Romo briefly elaborated on what has transpired since the original Computer Science proposal was submitted to the Committee during Spring, 1981. (Please see the October 28, 1981 minutes for more details.)

The Computer Science Department has submitted a five-year growth projection plan for the Committee's consideration. Basically, the plan is an estimate of the potential growth of the Computer Science Department, but details the necessities for achieving and adequately dealing with said growth; i.e., remodeling needs, additional instructors, and the purchasing of additional equipment.

The plan assumes the following conditions:

1. No tuitions will be charged.
2. No artificial enrollment ceiling will be imposed on the Computer Science Department.
3. Students arriving from high school will have more computer training than at present and will expect greater depth in their study at community colleges.
4. More adults will seek retraining and supplementary training for employment and job advancement.

The main, and most vital, concern is, of course, the purchase of additional equipment to meet the demands put upon existing equipment. Eight (8) additional terminals would have to be purchased at a cost of \$13,000. In addition to these terminals, either option 1, 2, or 3 as described below by Fall, 1982:

Option 1 - Keep existing system intact (no change).

Cost breakdown for 2 years:

20 monthly payments @ \$4,450 .....	\$89,000
24 monthly maintenance payments @ \$1,008 .....	24,192
8 line channel .....	2,000
Two-year total .....	<u>\$115,192</u>

Option 2 - Sell existing CPU, memory, disk, and communication multiplexors. Buy MV6000.

Cost breakdown for 2 years:

ITEM	PRICE	MAINTENANCE
MV6000, IMB memory cabinet ....	\$ 78,300	\$ 8,295
48 line controller .....	12,150	2,268
73 MB disk system .....	16,200	1,890
73 MB add on disk .....	13,050	1,638
Two-year subtotal .....	\$119,700	\$14,091
Shipping & insurance .....	2,000	
Two-year total .....	<u>\$135,791</u>	
		OVER .....

MINUTES, continued

Option 3 - Upgrade present system (add disk and 16-port controller).

Cost breakdown for 2 years:

ITEM	PRICE	MAINTENANCE
Existing equipment expenses		
20 monthly payments @ \$4,450.....	\$ 89,000	
24 monthly maintenance payments @ \$1,008 .....		\$24,192
additional equipment expenses burst multiplexor, channel, 190 MB Disk Drive + labor + shipping .....	35,154	5,502
Subtotal .....	<u>\$124,154</u>	<u>\$29,694</u>
Two-year total .....	<u>\$153,858</u>	

Regarding the purchase of equipment, Mr. Hanson stated he preferred "time payments" while Messrs. Schiferl and Dependahl preferred a direct purchase. There was some concern expressed by Mr. Hanson regarding the selling and monthly payments of the existing CPU, memory, disk, and communications multiplexors mentioned in option #2. The proposal is written as of "now," he stated that his main concern is when a buyer will be found.

Perspectives on options #1, 2, and 3:

1. The first option will prevent any further Computer Science growth. It is presented as a price guideline rather than as a solution. Mr. Dependahl stated that it is not a viable solution.
2. The second option is based on a long-term perspective. Data General maintains that the MV6000 is "more" system than is currently required; however, the capacity of the MV6000 makes it a logical choice given the growth expectations over the next three to five-year period. Mr. Dependahl noted that the MV6000 has just been released on the market; it could eventually increase the number of stations from 32 to 64. (Mr. Dependahl stated that currently the Department has 935 students and 32 stations; theoretically, there should be only 22 students per station.)
3. The third option is clearly one of a short-term duration. This proposal was originally submitted by Ralph Schiferl during Spring, 1981. It will meet immediate Computer Science needs. However, moderate growth over the next year will necessitate a re-evaluation of requirements at that time. It must be recognized that should option #3 be selected, the current system will be at full capacity from a configuration standpoint and that should Computer Science needs again exceed its acceptable processing capability, the only alternative would be procurement of an additional system (whether as a replacement or as a dual system configuration).

MINUTES, continued

Mr. Fairly questioned if any dialogue had taken place with the electronics industry regarding possible donation of equipment in exchange for training the donating company's employees, Mr. Schiferl remarked that he had had unfortunate experiences in a similar set-up previously.

Mr. Miller commented that there should be an increase in community support as federal support declines; Mr. Elkins remarked that community support usually consists of donating "software" and not "hardware."

The Chairman requested that Messrs. Hanson and Miller respond concerning the most appropriate option for purchasing the additional equipment.

Mr. Miller stated that he found option #2 (purchase of the MV6000) the most appropriate of the three; however, he did express some doubt as to the longevity of the MV6000 because of the ever increasing and advancing technology.

Mr. Hanson stated that a decision regarding growth-projection planning for the Computer Science Department should be deferred for at least a year. He mentioned that the County Election Computer System (an Eclipse CT 350) is compatible with the existing Computer Science equipment, and, therefore, a thorough investigation should be made on the feasibility of combining forces with the County (for the purpose of increasing the capability of the existing Computer Science Department's equipment.)

M/S/C      \*To approve Option #2 (MV6000) providing that a thorough investigation of the County Election Computer System as a possible alternative (as well as any other possible alternatives) be undertaken by Messrs. Schiferl, Dependahl, and Hanson. (Halloran and Christensen). Abstention: Hanson.

M/S/C      To approve SP #1 (removal of walls around H246 and 247, inserting a window in the wall of Room H251, and obtain additional office space on the H200 floor) at a cost of approximately \$6,000 to \$8,000. (Halloran and Rodrigues)

The meeting was adjourned by the Chairman at 4:13 P.M.

bk/sc

cc: Dr. MacDougall  
Administrative Deans  
Assistant Deans  
Department Chairpersons  
Representative Council  
Dr. Lindemann

\*For cost breakdown refer to Page 3.